UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE HONORABLE DANA M. SABRAW, JUDGE PRESIDING

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

PLAINTIFF.

) CASE NO. 17CR3687-DMS) 16CR1896-DMS) SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA) WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 10, 2018) 2:00 P.M. CALENDAR

DAMASO LOPEZ-SERRANO, DEFENDANT.

CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

COUNSEL APPEARING:

FOR PLAINTIFF: ADAM L. BRAVERMAN,

INTERIM UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

BY: MATTHEW JAMES SUTTON ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY

880 FRONT STREET

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

FOR DEFENDANT: MATTHEW J. LOMBARD, ESQ.

LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW J. LOMBARD 11400 WEST OLYMPIC BOULEVARD 1500 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064

INTERPRETER: SALVADOR CASTELLANOS

REPORTED BY: LEE ANN PENCE

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 333 WEST BROADWAY ROOM 1393 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA - WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 10, 2018 2:02 P.M. 1 2 3 THE CLERK: NO. 5 AND 6, 16CR1896 AND 17CR3687, 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VERSUS DAMASO LOPEZ-SERRANO; ON FOR A 5 CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING. 6 MR. LOMBARD: GOOD MORNING -- OR GOOD AFTERNOON -- I 7 APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR. MATTHEW LOMBARD ON BEHALF OF MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO, WHO IS COMING FROM THE BACK. 8 9 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. 10 MR. SUTTON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. MATTHEW 11 SUTTON ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES. 12 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. MR. LOMBARD: HE WILL BE ASSISTED BY THE SPANISH 13 LANGUAGE INTERPRETER. 14 15 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 16 GOOD AFTERNOON. 17 MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO IS PRESENT, BEING ASSISTED. 18 I HAVE A PLEA AGREEMENT, WHICH I HAVE REVIEWED. 19 THERE ARE TWO CASES, THE ONE FILED IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT 20 AND THE OTHER WHICH WAS FILED IN VIRGINIA AND HAS BEEN BROUGHT 21 OVER BY AGREEMENT OF MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO. AND I COULD USE SOME 2.2 CLARIFICATION HERE. 23 IN THE PLEA AGREEMENT IT SETS OUT THE TWO COUNTS IN 24 THE SAN DIEGO CASE, THAT IS 16CR1896. AND AT PAGE 3 OF THE

PLEA AGREEMENT IT SETS OUT THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

25

COUNT IN 16CR0300, CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE 5 KILOGRAMS OR MORE OF COCAINE UNDER SECTION 959 AND 963.

THAT IS SET OUT IN THE PLEA AGREEMENT. AND SO DOES
THE FACTUAL BASIS COVER ALL THREE COUNTS?

MR. SUTTON: IT DOES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND THE ELEMENTS EXPLAINED COVERS ALL

THREE COUNTS WITH RESPECT TO THE DESCRIPTION OF CONSPIRACY TO

IMPORT CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES INTENDED FOR IMPORTATION.

MR. SUTTON: THAT WOULD BE CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND THEN THE PLEA, ONCE TAKEN, WOULD

BE -- MR. LOPEZ-NUNEZ WOULD BE ASKED TO ENTER HIS PLEA AS TO

THE TWO COUNTS IN CASE ENDING 1896 AND THE ONE COUNT ENDING IN

NUMBER 300.

MR. SUTTON: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. LOMBARD: YOUR HONOR, THE 300 CASE DID RECEIVE A NEW CASE NUMBER BEFORE THIS COURT.

THE COURT: YES. THANK YOU FOR MENTIONING THAT.

THAT NEW CASE IS 17CR3687.

MR. SUTTON: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. LOMBARD: THE COURT DID MENTION MR. LOPEZ-NUNEZ,

IT IS LOPEZ-SERRANO.

THE COURT: YES, AND THE DEFENDANT BEFORE THE COURT

IS LOPEZ-SERRANO. THANK YOU.

WE ARE READY TO PROCEED WITH THE PLEA?

MR. LOMBARD: YES, YOUR HONOR.

MR. SUTTON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU SWEAR THE

DEFENDANT?

THE CLERK: PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND.

DO YOU SOLEMNLY SWEAR OR AFFIRM THAT THE EVIDENCE
YOU SHALL GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW BEFORE THE COURT SHALL BE THE
TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: (THROUGH INTERPRETER) YES,
I SWEAR.

THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, SIR.

YOU HAVE BEEN PLACED UNDER OATH. THAT MEANS IT IS
YOUR OBLIGATION TO TESTIFY TRUTHFULLY. IF YOU FAIL TO DO THAT
YOU COULD LATER BE PROSECUTED FOR PERJURY.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: I HAVE BEEN HANDED THE PLEA AGREEMENT,
AND HAVE HAD SOME PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION WITH COUNSEL WITH
RESPECT TO THE SCOPE OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT ENCOMPASSING THE
TWO CASES; THE ONE ORIGINALLY FILED HERE IN SAN DIEGO AND THE
ONE FILED IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA UNDER THAT CASE
NUMBER, WHICH HAS BEEN ASSIGNED A NEW CASE NUMBER HERE IN SAN
DIEGO UNDER 17CR3687.

1 DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE A FULL UNDERSTANDING THAT
THIS PLEA WILL ENCOMPASS, IF YOU STAND ON GUILTY PLEAS, PLEAS
TO THE THREE COUNTS SET OUT IN THE TWO RESPECTIVE CASES;
CORRECT?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: IN THAT REGARD THE PLEA AGREEMENT
PROVIDES YOU HAVE AGREED TO WAIVE VENUE FOR PURPOSE OF THE
PLEA AGREEMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
VIRGINIA CASE, AND TO AGREE TO HAVE THAT MATTER ADJUDICATED
HERE FOR PURPOSES OF A PLEA AND ANY SENTENCE THEREON BY THIS
COURT IN SAN DIEGO.

DO YOU AGREE?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: THERE IS ALSO A FORFEITURE ADDENDUM WITH RESPECT TO A MILLION DOLLARS FORFEITURE AS A RESULT OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT. AND YOU ARE PREPARED TO PROCEED WITH THAT UNDERSTANDING, CORRECT?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: HAVE YOU CONSUMED ANY MEDICATION, DRUGS, OR ALCOHOL WITHIN THE PAST 72 HOURS?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: NO.

THE COURT: HAS ANYONE MADE ANY THREATS TO YOU OR ANYONE NEAR OR DEAR TO YOU TO INDUCE YOU TO ENTER INTO THE

PLEA?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: NO.

THE COURT: HAS ANYONE MADE ANY PROMISES TO YOU,

OTHER THAN WHAT IS CONTAINED WITHIN YOUR PLEA AGREEMENT, TO

INDUCE YOU TO ENTER INTO THE PLEA?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: NO.

THE COURT: YOU DO UNDERSTAND THAT BY PLEADING

GUILTY YOU WOULD BE GIVING UP A NUMBER OF YOUR CONSTITUTIONAL

RIGHTS IN EACH OF THESE CASES INCLUDING: THE RIGHT TO A

SPEEDY AND PUBLIC JURY TRIAL; THE RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT OR TO

TESTIFY AT TRIAL IF YOU DESIRED; THE RIGHT TO CONFRONT AND

CROSS-EXAMINE WITNESSES; AND THE RIGHT TO SUBPOENA DOCUMENTS

AND WITNESSES IN DEFENSE OF THESE CHARGES.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND AND GIVE UP EACH OF THESE RIGHTS? **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO:** YES.

THE COURT: YOU ALSO HAVE A RIGHT TO STAND ON A NOT GUILTY PLEA. AND IF YOU ELECTED TO DO THAT YOUR ATTORNEY
WOULD HONOR YOUR DECISION AND YOU WOULD BE DEFENDED THROUGHOUT ALL STAGES OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN EACH OF THESE CASES.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND AND GIVE UP THAT RIGHT AS WELL?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: I AM GOING TO READ TO YOU THE FACTUAL

BASIS THAT IS SET OUT IN THE PLEA AGREEMENT. I WOULD ASK THAT

YOU LISTEN CAREFULLY, AND YOU ARE FREE TO READ ALONG WITH ME

ON THE PLEA AGREEMENT. THE FACTUAL BASIS SETS OUT FACTS THAT,

IF TRUE, YOU WOULD BE ADMITTING PURSUANT TO THIS PLEA
AGREEMENT. IF YOU ADMIT THESE FACTS YOU ARE ADMITTING EACH
AND EVERY ELEMENT OF THE CRIMES CHARGED AGAINST YOU IN EACH OF
THESE CASES.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: AND IN DOING THAT, IF YOU ADMIT THIS
FACTUAL BASIS, YOU WOULD BE RELIEVING THE GOVERNMENT OF ITS
BURDEN OF PROOF OF PROVING BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT EACH AND
EVERY ELEMENT AS WELL AS ANY ALLEGATIONS IN EACH OF THE
COMPLAINTS.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: YOU FURTHER WOULD BE GIVING UP YOUR
RIGHT TO HAVE A JURY HEAR AND DECIDE THESE CASES WHERE THE
PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE CARRIES. AND OBVIOUSLY YOU WOULD BE
GIVING UP YOUR RIGHT TO HAVE THAT JURY TRIAL IN EXCHANGE FOR
THE BENEFITS OF THE PLEA AGREEMENT.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: THE FACTUAL BASIS IS AS FOLLOWS: THAT,
NO. 1, BEGINNING NO LATER THAN IN OR ABOUT 2003 AND CONTINUING
UNTIL AT LEAST ON OR ABOUT DECEMBER 4, 2016 YOU WERE A MEMBER
OF A NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING ORGANIZATION BASED IN SINALOA
MEXICO AND COMMONLY KNOWN TO ITS MEMBERS AND ITS ASSOCIATES

AND THE PUBLIC AS THE SINALOA CARTEL.

NO. 2. THAT YOU ADMIT THAT YOU BECAME A LEADER WITHIN THE SINALOA CARTEL AND WERE RESPONSIBLE FOR A NUMBER OF ASPECTS OF THE CARTEL'S OPERATIONS; SPECIFICALLY, YOU ADMIT THAT YOU ENTERED INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE SINALOA CARTEL TO ACT AS A MAJOR COORDINATOR, ORGANIZING THE TRANSPORTATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF LARGE QUANTITIES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES, INCLUDING METHAMPHETAMINE, COCAINE, AND HEROIN, FOR IMPORTATION FROM MEXICO INTO THE UNITED STATES.

NO. 3. YOU ADMIT THAT AS PART OF THIS AGREEMENT YOU AND YOUR CO-CONSPIRATORS COORDINATED THE DISTRIBUTION OF TONNAGE QUANTITIES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES FROM MEXICO FOR ILLEGAL IMPORTATION INTO THE UNITED STATES, INCLUDING THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, ON BEHALF OF THE SINALOA CARTEL. SPECIFICALLY, YOU ADMIT THAT YOU AND YOUR CO-CONSPIRATORS IMPORTED TONNAGE QUANTITIES OF COCAINE FROM MEXICO INTO THE UNITED STATES.

NO. 4. YOU ADMIT THAT MEMBERS OF THE SINALOA CARTEL POSSESSED FIREARMS FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROMOTING THE SINALOA CARTEL'S NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING BUSINESS. YOU ALSO ADMIT THAT YOU POSSESSED A FIREARM AS A MEMBER OF THE SINALOA CARTEL IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CARTEL'S NARCOTICS TRAFFICKING ACTIVITIES.

AND, NO. 5. YOU AGREE THAT THE PROPERTY SUBJECT TO FORFEITURE, I.E. ONE MILLION DOLLARS IN UNITED STATES

CURRENCY, IS PROCEEDS OBTAINED DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY AS A

RESULT OF THE FELONY OFFENSES ALLEGED IN THE INDICTMENT AND/OR PROPERTY USED OR INTENDED TO BE USED IN ANY MANNER OR PART TO COMMIT AND TO FACILITATE THE COMMISSION OF THE FELONY OFFENSES ALLEGED IN THESE INDICTMENTS.

DO YOU AGREE?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: AND YOU ADMIT THIS FACTUAL BASIS BECAUSE IN TRUTH AND IN FACT YOU ARE GUILTY AS CHARGED IN EACH OF THE INDICTMENTS.

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: AS WE HAVE DISCUSSED, BY ADMITTING THAT FACTUAL BASIS YOU ARE ADMITTING EACH OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE CRIMES CHARGED TO YOU IN THESE CASES, AND RELIEVING THE GOVERNMENT OF PROVING BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT EACH OF THE ELEMENTS.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: THE ELEMENTS ARE THE FOLLOWING.

AS TO THE CONSPIRACY TO IMPORT CONTROLLED

SUBSTANCES: NO. 1, THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO OR MORE

PERSONS TO IMPORT CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES INTO THE UNITED STATES

FROM A PLACE OUTSIDE THEREOF; NO. 2, THAT YOU BECAME A MEMBER

OF THE CONSPIRACY KNOWING OF AT LEAST ONE OF ITS OBJECTS AND

INTENDING TO HELP ACCOMPLISH IT; AND, NO. 3, THE OFFENSE

INVOLVED AN AGREEMENT TO IMPORT OVER 500 GRAMS AND MORE OF A

MIXTURE AND SUBSTANCE CONTAINING A DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF
METHAMPHETAMINE, 5 KILOGRAMS AND MORE OF A MIXTURE AND
SUBSTANCE CONTAINING A DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF COCAINE, AND 1
KILOGRAM OR MORE OF A MIXTURE AND SUBSTANCE CONTAINING A
DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF HEROIN.

THE ELEMENTS WITH RESPECT TO CONSPIRACY TO

DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES INTENDED FOR IMPORTATION ARE
THESE: NO. 1, THERE WAS AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO OR MORE
PERSONS TO DISTRIBUTE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES OUTSIDE OF THE
UNITED STATES WITH THE INTENT THAT THE CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES
BE UNLAWFULLY BROUGHT INTO THE UNITED STATES; NO. 2, THAT YOU
BECAME A MEMBER OF THE CONSPIRACY KNOWING OF ITS OBJECTS AND
INTENDING TO HELP ACCOMPLISH IT; AND, NO. 3, THE OFFENSE
INVOLVED AN AGREEMENT TO IMPORT OVER 500 GRAMS AND MORE OF A
MIXTURE AND SUBSTANCE CONTAINING A DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF
METHAMPHETAMINE, 5 KILOGRAMS AND MORE OF A MIXTURE AND
SUBSTANCE CONTAINING A DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF COCAINE, AND 1
KILOGRAM AND MORE OF A MIXTURE AND SUBSTANCE CONTAINING A
DETECTABLE AMOUNT OF HEROIN.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND EACH OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSES CHARGED?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: AS TO THE FORFEITURE, YOU UNDERSTAND

THAT THE UNITED STATES WOULD HAVE TO PROVE BY A PREPONDERANCE

OF THE EVIDENCE THAT THE PROPERTY IT SEEKS TO FORFEIT

CONSTITUTES OR IS DERIVED FROM PROCEEDS OF THE OFFENSE OR WAS PROPERTY USED OR INTENDED TO BE USED TO FACILITATE THE OFFENSE.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: THE MAXIMUM PUNISHMENT FOR THESE

OFFENSES IS A MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCE AT TEN YEARS IN

PRISON, WITH A MAXIMUM OF LIFE IN PRISON; A \$10 MILLION FINE;

A MANDATORY SPECIAL ASSESSMENT OF \$100 PER COUNT; A TERM OF

SUPERVISED RELEASE OF AT LEAST FIVE YEARS AND UP TO LIFE, WITH

A RETURN TO PRISON FOR THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT SUPERVISED

RELEASE IS IMPOSED IF YOU VIOLATE ANY OF THE TERMS AND

CONDITIONS OF YOUR SUPERVISED RELEASE; FORFEITURE OF ALL

PROPERTY CONSTITUTING OR DERIVED FROM PROCEEDS OBTAINED AS A

RESULT OF THE VIOLATION, OF ALL PROPERTY USED OR INTENDED TO

BE USED TO COMMIT OR TO FACILITATE THE COMMISSION OF THE

VIOLATION; AND INELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN FEDERAL BENEFITS.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: AND MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO IS NOT A UNITED STATES CITIZEN; AM I CORRECT?

MR. LOMBARD: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE GONE OVER THE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES, HAVE YOU NOT?

MR. LOMBARD: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: AND JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, YOU HAVE FULLY ADDRESSED THE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES WITH YOUR CLIENT?

MR. LOMBARD: THAT IS CORRECT. I HAVE GONE OVER THE IMMIGRATION CONSEQUENCES OF PLEADING GUILTY TO A FELONY DRUG OFFENSE IN THE UNITED STATES AND NOT BEING A U.S. CITIZEN.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. AND AS SET OUT IN THE PLEA AGREEMENT, BECAUSE YOU ARE NOT A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES THIS GUILTY PLEA, IN EACH OF THESE CASES OR ANY ONE OF THEM, MAKE IT PRACTICALLY INEVITABLE AND A VIRTUAL CERTAINTY THAT YOU WILL BE REMOVED OR DEPORTED FROM THE UNITED STATES.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: THE PLEA AGREEMENT FURTHER PROVIDES THAT YOU WOULD BE FREE TO ASK FOR ADJUSTMENTS OR DEPARTURES UNDER THE ADVISORY GUIDELINES AS WELL AS VARIANCES UNDER THE RELEVANT SENTENCING FACTORS UNDER 18 USC SECTION 3553.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: THE COURT HAS AN OBLIGATION TO IMPOSE A JUST AND FAIR SENTENCE, WHICH IS SUFFICIENT BUT NOT GREATER THAN NECESSARY TO ADDRESS THE SENTENCING FACTORS BEFORE THE COURT. THE SENTENCING LAW REQUIRES THAT THE COURT CONSULT THE ADVISORY UNITED STATES GUIDELINES AS WELL AS THE RELEVANT SENTENCING FACTORS UNDER 18 UNITED STATES CODE SECTION 3553.

IN FULFILLING THAT OBLIGATION, AS INDICATED, THE COURT HAS A DUTY AND AN OBLIGATION TO IMPOSE A SENTENCE IN ITS DISCRETION THAT IT BELIEVES IS JUST AND FAIR, SUFFICIENT BUT NOT GREATER THAN NECESSARY TO MEET THESE SENTENCING CRITERIA. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** THE COURT: THE COURT IS NOT BOUND BY THE PLEA AGREEMENT. SO, IN OTHER WORDS, THE COURT IS FREE TO IMPOSE A SENTENCE UP TO LIFE. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** THE COURT: YOU ARE FREE, AS INDICATED, TO ARGUE FOR ADJUSTMENTS, DEPARTURES, AND VARIANCES; THE GOVERNMENT IS FREE TO OPPOSE THOSE. AND THEN THE COURT IS CHARGED WITH THE RESPONSIBILITY OF MAKING A SENTENCING DETERMINATION BASED ON ALL OF THE EVIDENCE BEFORE THE COURT AND ARGUMENTS OF COUNSEL, AS WELL AS HEARING FROM YOU. DO YOU UNDERSTAND? **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** THE COURT: IN IMPOSING THE SENTENCE, NO MATTER WHAT SENTENCE IS IMPOSED YOU WOULD NOT HAVE A RIGHT TO WITHDRAW YOUR GUILTY PLEAS.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

THE COURT: FURTHER, NO MATTER WHAT SENTENCE IS

IMPOSED, EVEN UP TO A LIFE SENTENCE, YOU WOULD NOT HAVE A 1 2 RIGHT TO APPEAL OR TO LATER COLLATERALLY ATTACK THE SENTENCE 3 AND JUDGMENT. 4 DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 5 **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** 6 THE COURT: SO THIS PLEA AGREEMENT CONTEMPLATES A 7 FULL APPELLATE WAIVER, THE INABILITY TO APPEAL OR TO LATER COLLATERALLY ATTACK THE SENTENCE AND JUDGMENT EXCEPT FOR ON 8 THE SOLE GROUNDS OF INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. 9 10 DO YOU UNDERSTAND? 11 **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** 12 THE COURT: COUNSEL, YOU HAVE HAD A FULL OPPORTUNITY 13 TO DISCUSS THE PLEA AGREEMENT WITH YOUR CLIENT, THE ADDENDA 14 BEFORE THE COURT; AM I CORRECT? 15 MR. LOMBARD: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY DEFENSES THAT 16 17 MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO MAY BE GIVING UP, OR ANY VIOLATION OF HIS 18 CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS? 19 MR. LOMBARD: NO, YOUR HONOR. 20 THE COURT: YOU DO JOIN IN THE PLEA? 21 MR. LOMBARD: I DO JOIN IN THE PLEA. 22 THE COURT: MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO, YOU HAVE HAD A FULL 23 OPPORTUNITY TO GO OVER THE PLEA AGREEMENT, THE ADDENDA BEFORE

THE COURT WITH YOUR ATTORNEY; AM I CORRECT?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.

24

25

THE COURT: WERE ALL OF THE QUESTIONS YOU ASKED OF YOUR ATTORNEY CONCERNING THE PLEA AGREEMENT ANSWERED TO YOUR SATISFACTION? **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OF THE COURT AT THIS TIME CONCERNING THE PLEA AGREEMENT? **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: NO.** THE COURT: THE GOVERNMENT IS SATISFIED? MR. SUTTON: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO, I AM GOING TO START WITH CASE 16CR1896. THAT IS THE CASE THAT WAS FILED HERE IN THIS DISTRICT. THERE ARE TWO COUNTS IN THE INDICTMENT, COUNTS 1 AND 2, THAT WE HAVE GONE OVER IN THE PLEA AGREEMENT. AND I AM GOING TO ASK YOU HOW YOU PLEAD TO THESE TWO COUNTS UNDER TITLE -- WELL, THE FIRST IS CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE METHAMPHETAMINE, COCAINE, AND HEROIN INTENDED FOR IMPORTATION UNDER TITLE 21, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTIONS 952, 960 AND 963. THE SECOND COUNT IS CONSPIRACY TO IMPORT METHAMPHETAMINE,

19

COCAINE, AND HEROIN UNDER TITLE 18, UNITED STATES CODE,

SECTIONS 1952, 1960, AND 1963. HOW DO YOU PLEAD TO THOSE TWO 21

COUNTS: GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: GUILTY.

THE COURT: THE CASE FILED IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA, 16CR0300, NOW ASSIGNED CASE NO. 17CR3687 IN THIS COURT, HAS ONE COUNT, CONSPIRACY TO DISTRIBUTE 5 KILOGRAMS OR MORE OF COCAINE INTENDING AND KNOWING IT WILL BE UNLAWFULLY IMPORTED INTO THE UNITED STATES, UNDER 21, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTIONS 959 AND 963. HOW DO YOU PLEAD: GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY?

DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: GUILTY.

THE COURT: THE PLEAS ARE ACCEPTED. I DO FIND THAT MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO HAS FREELY, VOLUNTARILY, AND COMPETENTLY ENTERED INTO THE PLEAS. THERE IS A FACTUAL BASIS FOR EACH COUNT IN EACH OF THE CASES. MR. LOPEZ-SERRANO HAS VOLUNTARILY AND COMPETENTLY ENTERED INTO THE PLEAS, AND HAS FREELY AND VOLUNTARILY WAIVED ALL OF HIS RIGHTS.

WITH RESPECT TO SENTENCING, WHAT WOULD BE THE PARTIES' REQUEST?

MR. LOMBARD: YOUR HONOR, WE WOULD RATHER PUT IT OFF FOR SOME TIME. I AM NOT SURE WE ANTICIPATE -- IT WON'T BE FOR SEVERAL MONTHS. IF THE COURT WANTS TO SET A DATE OUT THREE OR FOUR MONTHS AND CONTINUE TO CHECK IN WITH US, OR WOULD THE COURT FEEL COMFORTABLE SETTING A DATE, SAY, SIX MONTHS FROM TODAY?

MR. SUTTON: EITHER OPTION, WHATEVER THE COURT'S

PREFERENCE IS. I ANTICIPATE WE WILL NEED SOME PERIOD OF TIME

BEFORE WE PROCEED TO SENTENCING.

THE COURT: WHAT WOULD BE THE -- DO YOU HAVE ANY BENCHMARK AS FAR AS THE TIMING?

MR. SUTTON: I THINK PERHAPS IF WE SET A DATE SIX 1 2 MONTHS OUT FROM TODAY, AND THEN WE CAN CHECK IN WITH THE COURT. I THINK THAT WILL GIVE US A GOOD HEAD START. 3 4 THE COURT: SO THE SIX MONTH DATE, DO YOU --5 MR. LOMBARD: WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE GOING FORWARD 6 WITH SENTENCING IN SIX MONTHS, YOUR HONOR. 7 THE COURT: SO MORE OF A STATUS IN SIX MONTHS? 8 MR. SUTTON: LIKE A STATUS, YOUR HONOR. THEN WE CAN 9 ALERT THE COURT OF WHERE WE ARE AND WHAT THE TIME ESTIMATE 10 WOULD BE AT THAT POINT. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 12 WHAT WOULD THAT DATE BE? THE CLERK: FRIDAY, JULY 13. OR DO YOU WANT AN OFF 13 DAY? 14 **THE COURT:** FRIDAY? 15 THE CLERK: JULY 13. 16 THE COURT: WHY DON'T WE SET IT ON AN OFF DATE, 17 18 PERHAPS A THURSDAY. 19 THE CLERK: THURSDAY, JULY 12. 20 THE COURT: AT WHAT TIME? **THE CLERK:** 10:30. 21 22 THE COURT: 10:30. SO THURSDAY, JULY 12, 10:30. 23 MR. SUTTON: YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. LOMBARD: YES, YOUR HONOR. 24 25 THE COURT: SIR, YOU DO HAVE A RIGHT TO BE SENTENCED

IN DUE COURSE, AND SO IF YOU DID NOT WAIVE TIME WE WOULD SET 1 2 IT FOR A PRESENTENCE REPORT AND SENTENCING IN DUE COURSE. YOU ARE OBVIOUSLY INDICATING THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO 3 4 WAIVE THAT RIGHT AND BE SENTENCED AT A LATER TIME; AM I 5 CORRECT? 6 **DEFENDANT LOPEZ-SERRANO: YES.** 7 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 8 HAVE WE ADDRESSED ALL MATTERS? 9 MR. SUTTON: YES. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 11 MR. LOMBARD: THANK YOU. 12 13 14 I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS 15 IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. 4/27/2018 16 S/LEEANN PENCE LEEANN PENCE, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER DATE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25